

## Change Proposal Circular – CPC00743 Responses

CPC00743: Impact Assessment of CP1415

### Summary of Responses for CP1415

ORGANISATION	Agree with the change?	Impacted?	Cost?	Implementation Date?
BES Commercial Electricity Ltd	Yes	No	None	Yes
British Gas	Yes	Yes	Low cost	Yes
EDF Energy	Yes	Yes	Unknown	Yes
E.ON	Yes	Yes	Low cost	No
IMServ Europe Ltd	Yes	Yes	None	Yes
RWE npower	Yes	No	None	Yes
ScottishPower	Yes	Yes	None	Yes
SSE Energy Supply Ltd	Yes	Yes	None	No
TMA Data Management Ltd	Yes	Yes	Low cost	Yes

Detailed Impact Assessment Responses CP1415	
Organisation	Responses/Comments
BES Commercial Electricity Ltd	<p><b>Agree with the implementation approach?</b> – Yes</p> <p><b>Any other comments?</b> Agree with change because we already ask our DC to validate one reading per month for settlement on all smarts that communicate.</p>
British Gas	<p><b>How is your organisation impacted?</b> – Additional meter read validation process</p> <p><b>What are the associated costs on your organisation to implement the change?</b> – Minimal</p> <p><b>Agree with the implementation approach?</b> – Yes</p> <p><b>Any other comments?</b> No comments</p>
EDF Energy	<p><b>How is your organisation impacted?</b> – There will be potential changes to system and processes with respect of frequency of reading submission.</p> <p><b>What are the associated costs on your organisation to implement the change?</b> – At this stage we are unable to provide detail on associated costs for delivering this change.</p> <p><b>Agree with the implementation approach?</b> – Yes</p> <p><b>Any other comments?</b> Agree with change - we agree that the Supplier should be responsible for determining the frequency of readings entered into settlement.</p>
E.ON	<p><b>How is your organisation impacted?</b> – As Supplier and NHHDC</p> <p><b>What are the associated costs on your organisation to implement the change?</b> – This change would be reasonably small scale due to the structure of our systems. Although some further analysis would be required to fully work up the costs.</p>

Detailed Impact Assessment Responses CP1415	
Organisation	Responses/Comments
	<p><b>Agree with the implementation approach?</b> – No. Although the change would not require any systems changes until the introduction of DCC. CP1417 will require a large amount of investigation and potential effort to implement. As these changes are associated we would want to see these changes implemented in the November 2015 release in time for the introduction of the DCC in December.</p> <p><b>Any other comments?</b> Agree with the change - the role of the DC will change with the introduction of DCC and the red lining supports the change in responsibility from DC to supplier.</p>
IMServ Europe Ltd	<p><b>How is your organisation impacted?</b> – As an NHHDC (recipient), we need to be aware of the requirements around reads coming from Suppliers to us for DCC serviced meters.</p> <p><b>What are the associated costs on your organisation to implement the change?</b> – None.</p> <p><b>Agree with the implementation approach?</b> – Yes</p> <p><b>Any other comments?</b> Agree with change - where the Supplier is receiving readings directly via DCC it makes complete sense for them to decide which ones to use for Settlements.</p>
RWE npower	<p><b>Agree with the implementation approach?</b> – Yes Npower agree that this change will provide a balance between Settlement accuracy and minimising the costs associated with processing an excessive amount of reads. The current design from 13C2 for metering systems in PC 1-4 is that monthly reads are sent to the NHHDC. This will also apply in R3 to prepayment meters, so there is low impact on Npower's current design as we are already compliant with the number of reads that are required to be sent.</p> <p><b>Any other comments?</b> No comments.</p>
ScottishPower	<p><b>How is your organisation impacted?</b> – We will have to ensure that our systems can meet the DCC requirements going</p>

Detailed Impact Assessment Responses CP1415	
Organisation	Responses/Comments
	<p>forward.</p> <p><b>What are the associated costs on your organisation to implement the change?</b> – No comments.</p> <p><b>Agree with the implementation approach? If not, why?</b> – Yes</p> <p><b>Any other comments?</b> – Agree with change because the BSC is required to reflect the obligations that will be required between the Supplier community and the DCC.</p>
SSE Energy Supply Ltd	<p><b>How is your organisation impacted?</b> – As a Supplier.</p> <p><b>What are the associated costs on your organisation to implement the change?</b> – None.</p> <p><b>Agree with the implementation approach? If not, why?</b> – No. Implementing this change in February 2016 would be a more sensible approach. There are a high number of changes being implemented in February 2015, many of which can be justified. In this case, CP1415, CP1416 and 1417 could be implemented in February 2016 without detrimentally impacting the Smart programme. We would question whether these changes need to be live for the testing and Initial Live Operation phase of the DCC.</p> <p><b>Any other comments?</b> – No comments.</p>
TMA Data Management Ltd	<p><b>How is your organisation impacted?</b> – Processes.</p> <p><b>What are the associated costs on your organisation to implement the change?</b> – The implementation costs are very low.</p> <p><b>Agree with the implementation approach?</b> – Yes, the Smart Metering related changes must be implemented at the same time.</p> <p><b>Any other comments?</b> - The clarity provided by CP1415 is an improvement. The NHHDC will process and validate all reads sent</p>



Detailed Impact Assessment Responses CP1415	
Organisation	Responses/Comments
	from the Suppliers and agree with Suppliers on the frequency of routine reads for non-remotely read meters.

No comments were received on the proposed redlined text for CP1415.