

Housekeeping CP – CP1372

Meeting Name	Imbalance Settlement Group
Meeting Date	Ex Committee
Purpose of paper	For Decision
Summary	ISG is invited to approve Housekeeping Change Proposal CP1372 (<i>Housekeeping Change to Demand BM Unit Example in BSCP75</i>) for implementation in the June 2012 Release.

1. Background

- 1.1 CP1356 (*Demand BM Unit Aggregation Rule Example for BSCP75*) has been approved for implementation in the June 2012 Release. It proposes to include in BSCP75 (*Registration of Meter Aggregation Rules for Volume Allocation Units*) an example of Aggregation Rules for a BM Unit with Import metering only.
- 1.2 We reported to the ISG meeting on 27 March 2012 that the new example introduced into BSCP75 is appropriate for Code of Practice 2 (CoP2) and Code of Practice 3 (CoP3) sites, but is not consistent with the Code of Practice (CoP1) requirement to record both Active Import and Active Export. To avoid any risk that CP1356 misleads Parties into configuring CoP1 metering in a non-compliant way, we wish to make a housekeeping change to the wording of the new example to clarify the interaction with CoP1.

2. Issues Raised at Previous ISG Meeting

- 2.1 At the meeting on 27 March, an ISG member asked whether Active Export at CoP1 sites has to be submitted into Settlement. We have investigated this, and can confirm that there are potential scenarios in which Export would not and could not be settled. Section K1.2.2(a)(ii) of the BSC states that no BSC Party is allowed to take responsibility for Export from Exemptable Generating Plant unless the person generating electricity has authorised them to do so. So there could be a demand site which was directly connected (and hence registered in CVA) where any Export from Exemptable Generating Plant was spilled onto the system because the generator had not agreed to sell the Export to any BSC Party.
- 2.2 In this scenario we believe that CoP1 would still require the metering to record any Active Export, but the Export would not be included in any BM Unit Aggregation Rule. Our proposed redlined text (see Attachment B) is consistent with this.
- 2.3 Our recent audit of Aggregation Rules did not uncover any non-compliances in which Export was not recorded at a CoP1 site. Nonetheless, we believe our proposed Housekeeping change still has value in reducing the risk that non-compliances occur in future.

3. Next Steps

- 3.1 The Change Proposal form for CP1372 (*Housekeeping Change to Demand BM Unit Example in BSCP75*) and the redlined changes to BSCP75 are attached. We ask ISG to agree that this Change Proposal can be treated as a Housekeeping Change, and to approve it for implementation in the June 2012 Release.
- 3.2 Provided that CP1372 is implemented in the June 2012 Release (at the same time as CP1356) there is no additional implementation cost.

4. Recommendations

- 4.1 We invite you to:
- a) **AGREE** that CP1372 should be treated as a Housekeeping Change Proposal;
 - b) **AGREE** the proposed amendments to BSCP75; and
 - c) **APPROVE** CP1372 for implementation on 28 June 2012, as part of the June 2012 Release.

Attachments:

Attachment A – CP1372 *Housekeeping Change to Demand BM Unit Example in BSCP75*

Attachment B – BSCP75 Redlining

For more information, please contact:

John Lucas, Design Authority

john.lucas@elexon.co.uk

020 7380 4345