

<p align="center">Change Proposal – F40/01 (Page 1 of 2)</p>	<p>CP No: 566 <i>(mandatory by BSCCo)</i></p>
<p>Title <i>(mandatory by originator)</i> IDD & BSCP03 – Consistent originator of flow CDCAI013</p>	
<p>Description of Change <i>(mandatory by originator)</i></p> <p>The IDD CDCA-I013 is an input from either the MOA or BSC Party. The flow in BSCP03 3.1.6 version 2 has the Registrant being the party to accept or reject data estimates. IDD should be amended to remove the MOA from this flow.</p> <p><i>This Change Proposal addresses NCR 347.</i></p>	
<p>Proposed Solution(s) <i>(mandatory by originator)</i></p> <p>See attachment for detailed change.</p>	
<p>Justification for Change <i>(mandatory by originator)</i></p> <p>To make the IDD CDCA-I013 and BSCP03 consistent.</p> <p>MOAs are responsible for the Metering Equipment, not the data flows and do not know the level of metered data, hence they do not have the authority to accept or reject meter data on behalf of the Registrant. The Registrant is the only person in a position to accept or reject metered data.</p>	
<p align="center">Change Proposal – F40/01 (Page 2 of 2)</p>	<p>MP No: <i>(mandatory by BSCCo)</i></p>
<p>Other Configurable Items Potentially Affected by Proposed Solution(s) <i>(optional by BSCCo)</i></p>	
<p>Impact on Core Industry Documents <i>(optional by originator)</i></p>	
<p>Related Changes and/or Projects <i>(mandatory by BSCCo)</i></p>	

Originator's Details:

BCA Name...John Cunningham.....

Organisation...ELEXON.....

Email Address...john.cunningham@elexon.co.uk

Date.....29th May 2001.....

Organisation.....

Attachments: Y(If Yes, No. of Pages attached:1)
(delete as appropriate)

Attachment

CDCA-I013: (input) Response to Estimated data

Interface Id: CDCA-I013	Source: BSC Party, MOA	Title: Response to Estimated data	ITT reference: CDCA SD 10.8 CDCA BPM 4.22?
Mechanism: Manual	Frequency: Daily	Volumes: estimate 50 per day (1% of 5000)	
Interface Requirement:			
<p>BSC Parties will respond to CDCA-I037 'Estimated Data Notification' messages, indicating their agreement to an estimate made when meter readings are unavailable.</p> <p>The flow contains at minimum:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">Metering System IdentifierSettlement DateOutstation IdChannel NumberMeasurement Quantity (Active Import , Active Export)Settlement Period (46, 48 or 50 occurrences)Agreement Flag (A/P)Estimated Meter Reading Volume (Agreed estimate or Proposed value for estimate)Basis for proposed value <p>This interface may also be used by an MOA to send an estimated value to the CDCA.</p>			
Physical Interface Details:			

NETA Programme Change Request Form

Change Request ID: NCR334			
Change Request Name:		Priority	
BSCP 26; Change to timing of notification of site Visit		Critical for Go live	Desirable for Go Live
Identified By: Drew McGregor		Date Submitted: 12/02/2001	
Description of Proposed Change:			
All references are to BSCP 26 v 2.0			
<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Paragraph 1.3, second bullet point: change !0 WD to 20 WD 2. Paragraph 1.9, first sentence: change 10 WD to 20 WD 3. Table 3.4, ref. 3.4.1: in "when" column change 10 WD to 20 WD 4. Table 3.4, ref. 3.4.2: in "when" column change 10 WD to 20 WD 			
Reason for Proposed Change (Benefits) and/or Implications of not making the Change:			
During the inconsistency checking exercise, inconsistencies in timings were identified between the Code, BSCP 26 and the TAA_URS. The Code specified 20 WD notice for a Site Visit, BSCP			

26 specified 30 WD and the TAA_URS specified 10 WD.

BSCP 26 was modified (NCR 225) to align it with the TAA_URS (i.e. the Registrant receives 10 WD notice of a proposed Technical Assurance Visit from the TAA).

A change request was also raised to remove the 20 WD from the Code and refer all timings to the relevant BSCP. This change request was subject to the consultation process and a response has been received which stated that the Registrant should get as much time as possible to make the necessary arrangements for a sit visit and the timing should not be reduced.

This proposed change in the BSCP from 10 WD to 20 WD does not have any software implications and the Service Provider has indicated that it could be accommodated.

Increasing the notice period a registrant receives about a TAA site Visit will enable him to make all the necessary arrangements with his MOA and, if appropriate, the Site owner in a more realistic timescale.