

<b>Change Proposal – F40/01 (Page 1 of 3)</b>	<b>CP No: 590</b> <i>(mandatory by BSCCo)</i>
<p><b>Title</b> <i>(mandatory by originator)</i> Design enhancements to the standing data process currently defined in BSCP07 and BSCP507.</p>	
<p><b>Description of Change</b> <i>(mandatory by originator)</i> BSCP07 "Standing Data Changes for Central Volume Allocation" documents the standing data processes relating to CVA and BSCP507 "Supplier Volume Allocation Standing Data Changes" documents the standing data processes relating to SVA.</p> <p>This CP proposes to:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Streamline the CVA and SVA standing data activities so that they are contained in one BSCP. As a result of the introduction of NETA, there are now standing data processes, which impact both CVA and SVA.</li> <li>2. Remove the interfaces between BSCP07 and "Feeder BSCPs". Currently when certain CVA standing data are updated eg. BM Unit Registration data, CRA notifies the affected parties electronically. Therefore there is little point in the CRA additionally informing the affected parties manually that the change has been applied, which is the obligation in BSCP07.</li> <li>3. Incorporate the NETA process, for the provision of changes to BSC Agent contact details relating to CVA and SVA standing data, into the streamlined BSCP. The SVA aspects are currently documented in BSCP507.</li> </ol>	
<p><b>Proposed Solution(s)</b> <i>(mandatory by originator)</i> For the above proposals the following solutions are proposed:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Streamlining the Code Subsidiary Documentation Incorporate the existing SVA standing data activities contained in BSCP507 in BSCP07.</li> </ol> <p>The following changes are proposed:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a) Withdraw BSCP507 from service.</li> <li>b) Replace BSCP507 cross-references with BSCP07 in the following documents: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><input type="checkbox"/> SVAA SSL300 "Supplier Volume Allocation"</li> <li><input type="checkbox"/> SVAA Agreement</li> <li><input type="checkbox"/> any other BSCP or Service Line</li> <li><input type="checkbox"/> SVAA Data Catalogue</li> </ul> </li> </ol> <p>There may be an impact on SVAA documentation eg. LWIs.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>2. Removing links to "Feeder BSCPs" Currently there are various references throughout BSCP07 to "Feeder BSCPs".</li> </ol>	

<b>Change Proposal – F40/01 (Page 2 of 3)</b>	<b>CP No: 590</b> <i>(mandatory by BSCCo)</i>
<p>The following changes are proposed:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a) Remove all references to “Feeder BSCPs” from BSCP07.</li> <li>b) Modify section 1 of BSCP07 so that it is clear that there no feeder processes.</li> <li>c) Add the electronic dataflows from CRA (CRA-1013 and CRA-I015) into the “Feeder BSCPs. This will potentially impact:               <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>□ BSCP15 “BM Unit Registration”</li> <li>□ BSCP20 “Registration, Commissioning and Proving of Metering Systems for CVA”</li> <li>□ BSCP65 “Registration of Parties and Exit Procedures”</li> <li>□ BSCP75 “Registration of Meter Aggregation Rules for Volume Allocation Units”</li> <li>□ BSCP301 “Clearing, Invoicing and Payment”</li> </ul> </li> <li>d) Remove all references, including updating forms, to BSCP07 from the “Feeder BSCPs”.</li> <li>e) Change forms will be independent entities and will not utilise the same form used for initial registration.</li> </ol> <p>3. Incorporation of new NETA process</p> <p>There is a requirement for BSC Agents to provide CRA with changes to BSC Agent contact details but this is only currently covered in BSCP507 from a SVAA perspective.</p> <p>The following changes are proposed:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>□ Incorporate a new process for the provision of BSC Agent contact details, provided to the CRA (CRA-I004) into BSCP07, based on the process currently defined in BSCP507.</li> </ul>	
<p><b>Justification for Change</b> <i>(mandatory by originator)</i></p> <p>There are a number of reasons for implementing the above proposals:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Streamlining the Code Subsidiary Documents:           <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a) ensures that the standing data process is self-contained so there is no risk of the BSCPs becoming out of line.</li> <li>b) makes future maintenance easier.</li> <li>c) does not require users of the Code Subsidiary Documents to use many BSCPs.</li> <li>d) brings BSCP07 in line with others where common processes exist eg. BSCP40 “Change Management”.</li> </ol> </li> <li>2. Removing the links to “Feeder BSCPs”:           <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a) ensures there is no risk of the BSCPs becoming out of line.</li> <li>b) makes future maintenance easier.</li> <li>c) ensures that the standing data process reflects the actual working practice ie. that automated dataflows are produced. This change then removes the requirement for an additional manual process, which adds no value.</li> <li>d) addresses Issue 164 “BSCP07 – Standing Data”.</li> </ol> </li> <li>3. Incorporating the provision of BSC Agent contact details within BSCP07 ensures that there is a centrally defined process which is adopted consistently by all BSC Agents.</li> </ol>	

<b>Change Proposal – F40/01 (Page 3 of 3)</b>	<b>CP No:</b> <i>(mandatory by BSCCo)</i>
<b>Other Configurable Items Potentially Affected by Proposed Solution(s)</b> <i>(optional by BSCCo)</i>	
<b>Impact on Core Industry Documents</b> <i>(optional by originator)</i>	
<b>Related Changes and/or Projects</b> <i>(mandatory by BSCCo)</i>  1) The CP relating to workaround W001 "Inconsistencies between BSCP and NETA Consortium Forms" will need to be considered in parallel with this CP. 2) The CP relating to NCR304 "Method of Communication" will need to be considered in parallel with this CP.	
<b>Originator's Details:</b>  <b>BCA Name:</b> .....  <b>Organisation:</b> ELEXON  <b>Email Address:</b> .....  <b>Date:</b> .....  <b>Organisation:</b> .....	
Attachments: No	