

Change Proposal –F40/01	CPNo: 1112 VersionNo: 1.0
Title: <i>Change to BSCP32 following operational review.</i>	
Description of Problem/Issue :	
<p>In light of operational experience, BSCCo became aware that BSCP32 ‘Metering Dispensations’ would benefit from an operational review to deliver improvements to the process that would benefit both BSCCo and Parties. BSCCO, therefore, sought approval to carry out an operational review of BSCP32. As BSCP32 is co-owned by both the Supplier Volume Allocation Group (SVG) and the Imbalance Settlement Group (ISG), approval of both committees was sought.</p> <p>ISG (ISG/036/400) and SVG (SVG/036/490) agreed for BSCCo to conduct an operational review of BSCP32.</p> <p>The objectives of the review were twofold:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • To identify any change which can be made to improve the transparency and efficiency of BSCP32, including streamlining aspects of the procedures; and, • Draft/recommend changes to give effect to any potential improvements identified. <p>To achieve the above objectives, the operational review group comprised of BSCCo staff, Industry experts and the Transmission Company (TC).</p> <p>The following areas were identified within the scope of the BSCP32 operational review:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Alignment with the Balancing and Settlement Code (BSC); • Metering System Identification vs. Site registration; • Publication of Generic Metering Dispensation Applications; • Consultation and Appeals Procedures; • Confidential Dispensations; • Timescales of processing Metering Dispensation applications; • Review of format and structure of BSCP32; and, • Other improvements to enhance efficiency and usability of BSCP32. 	

Change Proposal –F40/01	CPNo: 1112 VersionNo: 1.0
Proposed Solution(s) <i>(mandatory by originator)</i>	
<p>The review group identified a number of solutions that would address the above areas, and which would deliver a clearer and more robust process. The proposed solutions are detailed in the attached Issues Register (Attachment 1).</p> <p>In addition, redlined changes have been made to BSCP32 (Attachment 2).</p> <p>A number of house-keeping changes are proposed to BSCP32. These have not been specified, but are included in Attachment 2 to enhance user friendliness of the procedure.</p>	
Justification for Change <i>(mandatory by originator)</i>	
<p>Identified issues proposed solution would provide a more robust process and have been approved by ISG and SVG; and supported by industry.</p>	
Configurable Items Potentially Affected by Proposed Solution(s) <i>(optional by Originator)</i>	
<p>BSCP32</p>	
Impact on Core Industry Documents <i>(optional by originator)</i>	
<p></p>	
Related Changes and/or Projects <i>(mandatory by BSC Co)</i>	
<p></p>	
Requested Implementation Date <i>(mandatory by originator)</i>	
<p>Reason:</p>	
Agreed Release/Implementation Date <i>(mandatory by BSC Co)</i>	
<p></p>	

ChangeProposal –F40/01	CPNo: 1112 <i>VersionNo: 1.0</i>
<p><i>Originator'sDetails:</i></p> <p><i>BCAName... SimonFox</i></p> <p><i>Organisation.....ELEXON.....</i></p> <p><i>EmailAddress..... simon.fox@elexon.co.uk.....</i></p> <p><i>Date.....08/02/05.....</i></p>	
<p>Attachments: Y/N* (If Yes, No. of Pages attached:.....)</p> <p><i>(delete as appropriate)</i></p>	