



# Change Proposal Circular: CPC00702: Impact Assessment of CP1355, CP1356 and DCP0049

## Responses for CP1355 'Update to BSCP537 Qualification Appendix 2: Testing Requirements'

| Summary of Responses                       |  |         |           |                          |
|--|--|---------|-----------|--------------------------|
| Organisation                               | Capacity in which Organisation operates in                 | Agree?  | Impacted? | Days needed to implement |
| CE Electric UK                             | LDSO   | Neutral | No        | -                        |
| TMA Data Management Ltd                    | HHDC, HHDA, NHHDC and NHHDA                                | Yes     | No        | -                        |
| Siemens Metering, Communication & Services | NHHMO, NHHDC, NHHDA, HHMO, HHDC, HHDA, CVAMO               | Yes     | No        | -                        |
| IBM for and on behalf of ScottishPower     | Supplier, Distributor, NHHDC, NHHDA, HHDA, HHDC, Generator | Yes     | No        | -                        |
| npower                                     | Supplier & Supplier Agent (NHH & HH)                       | Neutral | No        | -                        |
| SSEPD and SHEPD                            | Distributor  | Yes     | No        | -                        |
| SSE Supply                                 | Supplier   | Yes     | No        | -                        |
| British Gas                                | Supplier   | Yes     | No        | -                        |

| Detailed Impact Assessment Responses |         |           |          |
|--------------------------------------|---------|-----------|----------|
| Organisation                         | Agree?  | Impacted? | Comments |
| CE Electric UK                       | Neutral | No        | -        |
| TMA Data Management Ltd              | Yes     | No        | -        |

| Detailed Impact Assessment Responses          |         |    |   |
|---|---------|----|---|
| Siemens Metering,<br>Communication & Services | Yes     | No | <b>Please state what the impact is</b> – Our existing testing strategy would continue.<br><b>Would implementation in the proposed Release have an adverse impact on your organisation?</b> No |
| IBM for and on behalf of<br>ScottishPower     | Yes     | No | -   |
| npower  | Neutral | No | -   |
| SSEPD and SHEPD                               | Yes     | No | -   |
| SSE Supply                                    | Yes     | No | -   |
| British Gas                                   | Yes     | No | -   |

**No comments received on the redlined text.**

## Responses for CP1356 'Demand BM Unit Aggregation Rule Example for BSCP75'

### Summary of Responses

| Organisation                               | Capacity in which Organisation operates in                 | Agree?  | Impacted? | Days needed to implement |
|--|--|---------|-----------|--------------------------|
| CE Electric UK                             | LDSO   | Neutral | No        | -                        |
| TMA Data Management Ltd                    | HHDC, HHDA, NHHDC and NHHDA                                | Yes     | No        | -                        |
| Siemens Metering, Communication & Services | NHHMO, NHHDC, NHHDA, HHMO, HHDC, HHDA, CVAMO               | Neutral | No        | -                        |
| IBM for and on behalf of ScottishPower     | Supplier, Distributor, NHHDC, NHHDA, HHDA, HHDC, Generator | Neutral | No        | -                        |
| npower                                     | Supplier & Supplier Agent (NHH & HH)                       | Neutral | No        | -                        |
| SSEPD and SHEPD                            | Distributor  | Yes     | No        | -                        |
| SSE Supply                                 | Supplier   | Yes     | No        | -                        |
| British Gas                                | Supplier   | Yes     | No        | -                        |

### Detailed Impact Assessment Responses

| Organisation                               | Agree?  | Impacted? | Comments |
|--|---------|-----------|----------|
| CE Electric UK                             | Neutral | No        | -        |
| TMA Data Management Ltd                    | Yes     | No        | -        |
| Siemens Metering, Communication & Services | Neutral | No        | -        |

| Detailed Impact Assessment Responses   |         |    |   |
|--|---------|----|---|
| IBM for and on behalf of ScottishPower | Neutral | No | - |
| npower                                 | Neutral | No | - |
| SSEPD and SHEPD                        | Yes     | No | - |
| SSE Supply                             | Yes     | No | - |
| British Gas                            | Yes     | No | - |

**No comments received on the redlined text.**

## Responses for DCP0049 'Issues with Reporting of Failed Instructions (D0023) flows'

| Summary of Responses                       |  |        |           |                          |
|--|--|--------|-----------|--------------------------|
| Organisation                               | Capacity in which Organisation operates in                 | Agree? | Impacted? | Days needed to implement |
| IMServ Europe                              | HHDC, NHHDC, HHDA, NHHDA, HHMO and NHHMO                   | Yes    | Yes       | 10                       |
| CE Electric UK                             | LDSO   | Yes    | Yes       | -                        |
| TMA Data Management Ltd                    | HHDC, HHDA, NHHDC and NHHDA                                | Yes    | Yes       | 90                       |
| Siemens Metering, Communication & Services | NHHMO, NHHDC, NHHDA, HHMO, HHDC, HHDA, CVAMO               | Yes    | Yes       | 90                       |
| IBM for and on behalf of ScottishPower     | Supplier, Distributor, NHHDC, NHHDA, HHDA, HHDC, Generator | Yes    | Yes       | Minimum 6 months         |
| npower                                     | Supplier & Supplier Agent (NHH & HH)                       | Yes    | Yes       | 180                      |
| SSEPD and SHEPD                            | Distributor  | Yes    | No        | -                        |
| SSE Supply                                 | Supplier   | Yes    | No        | -                        |
| British Gas                                | Supplier   | Yes    | No        | -                        |

| Detailed Impact Assessment Responses |        |           |   |
|--------------------------------------|--------|-----------|---|
| Organisation                         | Agree? | Impacted? | Comments  |
| IMServ Europe                        | Yes    | Yes       | <p><b>Agree change comment</b> - I agree all 3 proposed changes will provide an improved view of the volume of material exceptions whilst providing consistency around the reporting of numbers. I do feel that a reduced cost operation to the development of a consistent report should be considered, as NHHDA's will be running scripts etc currently and there is potential for one of these to be adapted and agreed to by all parties to ensure consistency.</p> <p><b>For which role is your organisation impacted?</b> NHHDA/C</p> |

## Detailed Impact Assessment Responses

|  |     |     |  |
|--|-----|-----|--|
|  |     |     | <p><b>Please state what the impact is</b> - Revised scripts and changes to processes would be required to take the changes into consideration, although I believe these to have a minimal impact on us.</p> <p><b>Lead time comment</b> - Proposal 1, immediate, Proposal 2, as soon as the script was provided, Proposal 3, 10 WD due to running patches/changes to DA system.</p> <p><b>Cost associated with proposal 2/DBAs to run patch for proposal 3:</b> Financial/Resources</p> <p><b>Associated costs comment</b> –</p> <p><b>Any other comments</b> -</p>  |
| CE Electric UK                             | Yes | Yes | <p><b>Agree change comment</b> – We agree that a change to the current process is required however we feel the options given in this change need to be explored further in terms of SMRS reporting. Within our SMRA role we are also required to report levels of D0023s as part of the annual audit and therefore are open to receiving audit actions in this area. We therefore feel an end to end process should be developed to resolve issues with D0023 reporting across the board.</p> <p><b>For which role is your organisation impacted?</b> SMRS</p> <p><b>Please state what the impact is</b> – We are impacted by the requirement to report and deal with D0023s.</p>                                      |
| TMA Data Management Ltd                    | Yes | Yes | <p><b>For which role is your organisation impacted?</b> NHHDC and NHHDA</p> <p><b>Please state what the impact is</b> – System and procedure</p> <p><b>Would implementation in the proposed Release have an adverse impact on your organisation?</b> No</p> <p><b>Associated costs comment</b> – Medium impact</p>   |
| Siemens Metering, Communication & Services | Yes | Yes | <p><b>Agree change comment</b> – We support all three suggestions in this draft change proposal, as this would provide a standard approach to D0023 reporting and improve data quality for the BSC Audit</p> <p><b>For which role is your organisation impacted?</b> NHHDC, NHHDA</p> <p><b>Please state what the impact is</b> – Testing &amp; implementation of the new scripts (associated with 2 &amp; 3) and data cleansing activity.</p> <p><b>Lead time comment</b> - A minimum of 3 months would be required in order to test and implement the new scripts.</p> <p><b>Would implementation in the proposed Release have an adverse impact on your organisation?</b> No</p> <p><b>Any other comments</b> -</p> |
| IBM for and on behalf of ScottishPower     | Yes | Yes | <p><b>Agree change comment</b> – This is a significant opportunity to improve our control of D0023 reporting and reduce immaterial exceptions. This would also bring the D0023 framework more into the line with the current process for D0095 exceptions, again improving market consistency.</p> <p><b>For which role is your organisation impacted?</b> We would be impacted as Supplier, NHHDC and NHHDA.</p>  |

## Detailed Impact Assessment Responses

|        |     |     |   |
|--------|-----|-----|---|
|        |     |     | <p><b>Please state what the impact is</b> – We currently track D0023 errors from a Supplier and agent perspective so this change would significantly alter the process we use. This would also change the tools we use for targeting NHH %AA performance improvements through exception resolution and allow us to focus on the material issues with D0023s.</p> <p><b>Lead time comment</b> - Minimum 6 months lead time should be provided to allow sufficient test and release Impact Assessments to be carried out by all affected BSC parties.</p> <p><b>Would implementation in the proposed Release have an adverse impact on your organisation?</b> No, we are happy for this release date to stand provided the change is agreed and commissioned before the end of 2011. Leaving the development and testing time and less than 6 months would pose significant risks to our organisation. If internal system changes are required then this implementation date would need to be extended to the Feb 2013 release.</p> <p><b>Associated costs comment</b> – It is unclear at the moment what changes would be required to NHHDC. At the moment it appears the majority of changes are in NHHDA, which would be covered by the general Industry development advised by Elexon in the change detail (£40,000). Once the final change is confirmed we would then have it Impact Assessed to confirm any development, test and release costs so we are unable to confirm a figure at this time. However, it is expected that this would have minimal internal costs.</p>   |
| npower | Yes | Yes | <p><b>Agree change comment</b> – Yorkshire &amp; Northing Non Half-Hourly Data Collectors would like to progress all three proposed solutions and have no preference on whether 1 &amp; 2 are progressed together with 3 individually.</p> <p><b>For which role is your organisation impacted?</b> NHHDA</p> <p><b>Please state what the impact is</b> – The impact would be positive as it would improve the efficiency of Failed Instruction reporting. This is currently a cumbersome and lengthy task in itself and therefore solutions 1&amp;2 would provide a clear benefit to us. We would subsequently be in full support of solution 3 as the removal of immaterial instructions would give the clear picture of what we are responsible for and can/cannot resolve.</p> <p><b>Lead time comment</b> - We would usually advocate a lead time of six months for changes to allow them to be thoroughly developed and tested prior to their release.</p> <p><b>Would implementation in the proposed Release have an adverse impact on your organisation?</b> As long as the decision to implement in June 2012 was agreed in 2011 then this would not impact us negatively.</p> <p><b>Associated costs comment</b> – We would incur internal testing and implementation costs. At the moment we cannot provide accurate figures.</p> <p><b>Any other comments</b> - One point to note is that the referenced previous attempt to provide this functionality in 2006/07 provided little success against the YELG &amp; NEEB NHHDA systems. We are unsure of the root cause of this problem however, we would be</p> |

## Detailed Impact Assessment Responses

|                 |     |    |   |
|-----------------|-----|----|---|
|                 |     |    | keen to understand what the difference with this solution would be compared to the previous attempt and therefore what the associated and expected volume benefit would be NHHDA.   |
| SSEPD and SHEPD | Yes | No | -   |
| SSE Supply      | Yes | No | -   |
| British Gas     | Yes | No | <p><b>Any other comments</b> - It seems sensible to get rid of exceptions that relate to truly crystallised Settlement periods, but you need to make sure that the period in question is really crystallised i.e. the NHHDA hasn't been appointed (per the MPAS view) in the last 24 months (or whatever DF / RF period is associated with that particular GSP Group).</p> <p>As for DCs that are no longer appointed, again, some care will need to be taken to ensure that the responsibility for fixing an error has really transferred elsewhere – particularly pertinent for long standing EAC values where D0019s are sent infrequently.</p> <p>We also agree that some standard reporting from the NHHDA to feed Elexon and the auditor with consistent NHHDA is sensible.</p> |